

Rubin Observatory

Vera C. Rubin Observatory
Systems Engineering

Operations Readiness Criteria

Chuck Claver, Leanne Guy, William O'Mullane, Add your name as you
contribute

SITCOMTN-005

Latest Revision: 2020-08-07

DRAFT



Abstract

This technote collects together the elements that constitute criteria for Operations Readiness of the Rubin Observatory

Draft

Change Record

Version	Date	Description	Owner name
1	2020-08-06	First draft	Leanne Guy

Document source location: <https://github.com/lsst-sitcom/sitcom-005>

Draft

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Verification of Observatory System Specifications (LSE-30)	1
2.1	Construction Completeness Criteria	2
2.2	Objectives	2
2.3	Criteria or Completeness	3
2.4	Pre-Operations Interaction	3
2.5	Artifacts for Completion	3
3	LSST System Requirements & SRD Verification/Validation	3
3.1	Construction Completeness Criteria	3
3.2	Objectives	3
3.3	Criteria or Completeness	7
3.4	Pre-Operations Interaction	7
3.5	Artifacts for Completion	8
4	Science Validation Survey	8
5	Verification and Validation of Data Management	8
5.1	Verification Procedure for Data Management System Requirements (LSE-61) . .	8
5.2	Prompt Processing	8
5.3	Data Release Processing	8
5.4	Rubin Science Platform	8
6	Community Services and EPO	9
7	Science Data Quality Assessment	9
8	Recording and Archiving of System State Metadata	9
9	Operational Procedures and Technical Documentation	9
10	As-Built Record, Modifications, non-Compliance and Recommendations	9

11 Rubin Operations Team Readiness	9
A References	9
B Acronyms	9

Draft

Operations Readiness Criteria

1 Introduction

One of the primary high-level strategic inputs to developing the System AI&T and Commissioning Plan (LSE-79) are the construction completeness requirements for the Operations Readiness Review (ORR). At the conclusion of the Commissioning Phase of the LSST construction project an ORR will be undertaken by an external panel, jointly appointed by the DOE and NSF, in consultation with the LSST Project Team. The ORR also signifies the end of the NSF MREFC funded construction project and DOE Commissioning.

We collect together the elements that constitute criteria for Operations Readiness. Each topic has, or will have, defined requirements – in many cases along with goals and stretch goals – that will each have the relevant documentation for performance against the requirement. For those requirements that specify performance after some period of operations, the basis of estimate of projected performance will be provided. Unless otherwise specified, functional requirements will be verified by direct test, and performance requirements will be verified by direct test, analysis, or a some combination thereof. For each requirement, there will either be a clean pass, or there will be a waiver process that documents why it is acceptable to proceed to operations (or the reason we must postpone the transition to operations).

Some of the topics are already covered by existing verification plans. Some functional requirements (and any accompanying goals and stretch goals) are still in review – in those cases, the requirements and associated verifications are being developed together to ensure clarity and verifiability. Some topics, such as the mini-survey, have requirements that are a combination of performance and functionality that do not necessarily flow directly from the high-level requirements; in those cases, we identify the minimum requirements that must be met to proceed to operations, along with a range of goals and stretch goals and the accompanying rationale.

2 Verification of Observatory System Specifications (LSE-30)

2.1 Construction Completeness Criteria

The project team shall demonstrate that the integrated LSST systems (Camera, Telescope & Site and Data Management subsystems) as well as the Education and Public Outreach (EPO) system have met the technical specifications enumerated in the LSST Observatory System Specifications (LSE-30).

2.2 Objectives

The main objective with this Operations Readiness Requirement is to verify the system specifications in the OSS (LSE-30) are proven and well documented.. The OSS is essentially the highest level document describing the basic LSST system architecture. It contains sections derived from the OSS on the following broad topics:

- System Composition and Constraints
- Common System Functions and Performance, including:
 - System Control
 - System Monitoring and Diagnostics
 - System Maintenance
 - System Availability
 - System Time References
- Detailed Specifications:
 - Science and Bulk Data
 - Optical System
 - System Throughput
 - Camera System
 - Photometric Calibration
 - System Timing and Dynamics
- Education and Public Outreach

2.3 Criteria or Completeness

Compliance with this objective will follow the process as defined in the Verification and Validation Process document (LSE-160) and associated documentation. All technical specifications in the OSS (LSE-30) and LSR (LSE-29) are expected to be met at the end of construction.

2.4 Pre-Operations Interaction

None. Unless there are non-compliance issues against the ORR requirements and specifications.

2.5 Artifacts for Completion

- Verification matrix containing entries for all OSS requirements and specifications. Methods, inspections, demonstration, analysis or test, shall be identified for every OSS requirement. Final compliance status will be included.
- Analysis reports where the verification method has been identified as "test" or "analysis".
- Non-compliance reports.

3 LSST System Requirements & SRD Verification/Validation

3.1 Construction Completeness Criteria

The project team shall characterize and document the performance of the integrated LSST system with respect to the survey performance requirements and specifications enumerated in the LSST System Requirements, Observatory System Specifications and Science Requirements Document (LSE-29, ? & LPM-17 section 3 respectively).

3.2 Objectives

The primary objective for this Operations Readiness Requirement is to verify and validate that the data produced from the science validation surveys (plus additional observing campaigns)

meets the science validation requirements as described in the LSST Verification and Validation (LVV) elements and test cases. This will include:

- Verification of the generation of all required data products and services
- Verification that the relevant metadata are being collected and archived
- Verification of astrometric performance (relative and absolute)
- Verification of photometric performance (relative and absolute)
- Verification of data throughput and processing requirements for prompt data products
- Completeness and purity of sources detected in AP and DRP
- Object de-blending
- Image template generation
- Completeness and purity of moving object orbit calculations
- The impact of stray light and optical ghosts
- Image quality (defined for each subsystem: telescope, camera, data management)
- Crosstalk, filter response, and calibration

In addition the normative Science Requirement data quality metrics and high-level science analyses, there are several data characteristics that represent important benchmarks of scientific capability, for example:

- Object detection completeness
- Object classification – *e.g.*, star-galaxy separation
- Galaxy photometry – *e.g.*, for photometric redshifts)
- Difference image analysis photometry – *e.g.*, for statistical variability metrics)
- Low surface brightness features
- Weak-lensing null tests

- Crowded fields / de-blending

The verification will make use of Quality Assessment (QA) and Quality Control (QC) tools developed during DM construction.

- Quality Assessment: versatile pipelines to calculate performance metrics and other diagnostics
- Quality Control: ensure that metrics are routinely calculated and track their distributions as the pipelines evolve and encounter new data

In particular, Key Performance Metrics produced by DM and the Commissioning team together with additional test cases will be compared against the tabular requirements in the LSST SRD. For the purpose of evaluating readiness we define the steps associated with verification, validation, and characterization of the LSST data and processing.

Verification: Demonstrate that the system as built is consistent with the design. Ensure that the requirements for the system are met using LSST and precursor data. Express the requirements in terms of metrics that can be evaluated using LSST and precursor data. Document the system performance for each of the verification metrics and requirements.

Validation: Demonstrate that the system is capable of meeting the scientific objectives of the survey. Ensure that the data products, data access, and science requirements can meet the objectives for LSST's four major science themes. Document the system performance for each of the validation metrics and requirements and verify that there exist mechanisms to monitor the system performance during operations. Validate that the derived data products and access tools meet the science requirements of the community.

Characterization: Determine how the performance of the system degrades as a function of environment and technical performance of the components of the system. Measure how the metrics used in verification change as a function of operational conditions (including weather, site, operations, telescope, instrument, and software). The scope of science verification includes:

- Determining whether the specifications defined in the OSS, LSR, and SRD are being met;

- Characterizing other system performance metrics in the context of the four primary science drivers;
- Studying environmental dependencies and technical optimization that inform early operations;
- Documenting system performance and verifying mechanisms to monitor system performance during operations; and
- Validating data delivery, derived data products, and data access tools that will be used by the science community.

The goal is to quantify the range of demonstrated performance by using a combination of on-sky data, informed simulations of the LSST system, and external datasets. Observations taken during this period will enable higher-level data quality assessments that are not explicitly identified as requirements in the LSR or SRD, but nonetheless represent important benchmarks of scientific performance (e.g., source detection completeness, accuracy of star-galaxy separation, precision of photometric redshifts, and weak-lensing null tests).

All test cases as described under the LSST Verification and Validation project will be implemented as either part of the DM Key Performance Metric validation system, as separate test procedures (e.g., Jupyter notebooks), or via visual inspection (e.g., to demonstrate that a service or data product has been delivered). The LSST Science Platform will be the primary tool for data access and exploration. All metrics will be applied to data from the two main Science Validation surveys (the Wide-area Science Validation Survey and the 10-year Depth Science Validation Survey) and evaluated against the numerical values described in the LSST System Requirements, Observatory System Specifications and Science Requirements Document.

If the schedule for on-sky observations is compressed, there might be a tight timeline for data processing and subsequent analysis of the Science Validation surveys. The statistical power of tests may be more limited if there are fewer observations. In that case, the validation and characterization may be more limited. For example, if the baseline for the wide-area science verification survey is shortened we will have to verify variability measures (e.g. periods) to specific classes of object. We may want to specify which classes of variability we will prioritize. Similarly, for the data release products, priority might be assigned to the verification of science performance for a brighter sample of objects (e.g., magnitudes $i < 25$).

3.3 Criteria or Completeness

The Commissioning Team shall complete sufficient science verification, validation, and characterization studies to be confident that 10-year LSST survey can satisfy OSS, LSR, and SRD. Some aspects of science performance are fixed by the telescope, camera, and observing strategy, while others can be continually improved through refinements of the Science Pipelines. In this context, key objectives of science verification are to distinguish between anomalies that can be addressed in the science pipelines and those that are more fundamental to the raw data, and to establish confidence that more subtle anomalies do not fundamentally limit science reach during Early Operations.

To achieve this level of confidence, we identify several essential categories of science performance:

- image quality (PSF FWHM, ellipticity), throughput, ghosts, noise
- instrument signature removal
- PSF modeling, photometric calibration, astrometric calibration

Construction completeness is achieved when LSR and SRD metrics in the categories above pass the minimum requirements as stated in the SRD. Non-compliance exceptions to the above requirements will be considered following internal and external reviews of the assessed performance and operational impacts. In addition, substantial progress should be made on towards initial verification of difference imaging, deblending, galaxy photometry including shape measurement, moving object linkage, and proper motions.

3.4 Pre-Operations Interaction

Review of current status of science verification, validation, and characterization; and

Handoff of QA and QC tools. Ensure that operations team can run these tools, interpret the results, and add new metrics as needed.

3.5 Artifacts for Completion

- Minimum:
 - Summary report of system-level science performance metrics, with comparison to specifications in the OSS, LSR, and SRD I
 - Impact study in the case of non-compliance
 - Documentation of Quality Assessment and Quality Control tools
 - Draft of Construction Paper for Commissioning Science Verification and Validation (not released until time of public release of commissioning data products)
- Baseline:
 - For each science performance requirement in the LSR and SRD, summary statistic(s) or diagnostic plot(s) demonstrating the distribution of performance and correlations with environmental conditions, astrophysical foregrounds, etc.
 - Brief reports for a small collection of end-to-end studies demonstrating realistic workflows used for science validation (see examples above). It is envisioned that these studies may mature into full scientific publications during the first year of operations and may involve collaboration with the larger scientific community.

4 Science Validation Survey

5 Verification and Validation of Data Management

5.1 Verification Procedure for Data Management System Requirements (LSE-61)

5.2 Prompt Processing

5.3 Data Release Processing

5.4 Rubin Science Platform

6 Community Services and EPO

7 Science Data Quality Assessment

8 Recording and Archiving of System State Metadata

9 Operational Procedures and Technical Documentation

10 As-Built Record, Modifications, non-Compliance and Recommendations

11 Rubin Operations Team Readiness

A References

[LSE-79], Claver, C., The LSST Commissioning Planning Team, 2017, *System AI&T and Commissioning Plan*, LSE-79, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-79>

[LSE-29], Claver, C.F., The LSST Systems Engineering Integrated Project Team, 2017, *LSST System Requirements (LSR)*, LSE-29, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-29>

[LSE-30], Claver, C.F., The LSST Systems Engineering Integrated Project Team, 2018, *Observatory System Specifications (OSS)*, LSE-30, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-30>

[LPM-17], Ivezić, Ž., The LSST Science Collaboration, 2018, *LSST Science Requirements Document*, LPM-17, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-17>

B Acronyms

Acronym	Description
---------	-------------

AP	Alert Production
DM	Data Management
DOE	Department of Energy
DRP	Data Release Production
EPO	Education and Public Outreach
FWHM	Full Width at Half-Maximum
LPM	LSST Project Management (Document Handle)
LSE	LSST Systems Engineering (Document Handle)
LSR	LSST System Requirements; LSE-29
LSST	Legacy Survey of Space and Time (formerly Large Synoptic Survey Telescope)
MREFC	Major Research Equipment and Facility Construction
NSF	National Science Foundation
ORR	Operations Readiness Review
OSS	Observatory System Specifications; LSE-30
PSF	Point Spread Function
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
SE	System Engineering
SRD	LSST Science Requirements; LPM-17